RESEARCH NOTE Open Access

Prevalence and risk factors profile of seropositive *Toxoplasmosis gondii* infection among apparently immunocompetent Sudanese women

Madinna Mustafa¹, Fatima Fathy¹, Abubaker Mirghani¹, Mona A. Mohamed S. Muneer^{2,3,4}, Abdallah E. Ahmed⁵, Mohamed Siralkhatim Ali^{5,6}, Rihab A. Omer⁵, Emmanuel E. Siddig⁷, Nouh S. Mohamed^{1,5,8*} and Amjed M. Abd Elkareem^{1,9}

Abstract

Objectives: Toxoplasma gondii is an opportunistic parasite that causes a clinical manifestation known as toxoplasmosis. We investigated the prevalence and potential risk factors of *T. gondii* infection among women in Khartoum, Sudan. A sero-parasitological cross-sectional study included 100 women aging between 15 and 50 years old was conducted between January and November 2018. Serum samples were collected and investigated for the presence of anti-*T. gondii* immunoglobulins.

Results: Mean age of the women population included was 26.75 ± 8.25 with a range between 15 and 50 years. Sero-prevalence of *T. gondii* antibodies was 27% (27/100) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) of 18.6-36.8%. Among seropositive population 81% (22/27), 15% (4/27) and 4% (1/27) were seropositive for IgG antibodies, IgM antibodies and both antibodies respectively. Age group 21-30 years old had the highest frequency of detected IgG (10/45) and IgM (3/45). Married women had the highest frequency of detected IgG or IgM, 18/79 and 3/79, respectively. Risk factors analysis showed a total of 37/100 participants were having direct contact with cats and 66/100 have a frequent raw meat consumption, neither direct cats contact nor raw meat consumption had a statistically significant association with seropositivity to *T. gondii* (P value = 0.052 and 0.565, respectively).

Keywords: Toxoplasma gondii, Risk factors, Prevalence, Sudan

Introduction

Toxoplasmosis is an opportunistic parasitic infection caused by the parasite *Toxoplasma gondii*. Toxoplasmosis constitutes a major public health problem especially in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) [1]. More than 29% of people worldwide show serological evidence of encountering *T. gondii* infection [2]. Though *T. gondii* parasite stays in a dormant stage called bradyzoites [3], the parasite transforms into an active form when the

immune system becomes compromised which leads to the clinical manifestation that known as toxoplasmosis [4]. Decreased immunity could be attributed to infections such as HIV [5, 6], disease e.g. fatty liver disease [7], or normal alterations in physiological response as in case of pregnancy [8–11].

Congenital toxoplasmosis is another form of toxoplasmosis defined by the vertical transmission *T. gondii* tachyzoites parasite from an infected pregnant woman to a fetus through the placenta [12]. Congenital toxoplasmosis occurs approximately in 1 per 1000 pregnant women [13, 14]. It can cause severe damages to the fetus brain, cerebral calcification, hydrocephaly, chorioretinitis, and mental retardation [15]. Some patients with congenital

⁵ Department of Molecular Biology, National University Biomedical Research Institute, National University, Khartoum, Sudan Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*}Correspondence: nouh.s.mohamed@nuri.nu.edu.sd

Mustafa et al. BMC Res Notes (2019) 12:279 Page 2 of 6

toxoplasmosis are likely to develop other clinical manifestations like toxoplasma encephalitis [16], lymphadenopathy [17], schizophrenia [18], ophthalmitis [19], and organ dysfunction such as liver cirrhosis [20].

In Sudan, toxoplasmosis was firstly reported in 1966 in 61% patients in Darfur state [21] In 1991 it was reported that high prevalence rate in Sudan is due to some habits like consumption of raw or partially cooked liver, viscera and meat [22]. In 2013, an overall seroprevalence of 43.6% has been reported in samples donated for the central blood bank and samples investigated to issue travel cards. Higher prevalence of the disease was reported in HIV patients (75%) and women with abortion history (55.5%) in Khartoum state [23].

Early diagnosis of toxoplasmosis especially among females in childbearing age is recommended since the disease can cause miscarriage, stillbirth or congenital toxoplasmosis to their infants [24] when they get pregnant. Therefore, pre-diagnosis even with a first line detection method especially in the LMICs such as Sudan will help in the management of pregnant females with *T. gondii* infection. This study aimed at investigating the prevalence of *T. gondii* among Sudanese women in Khartoum state, Sudan and identification of risk factors associated with seropositive candidates.

Main text

Materials and methods

A sero-parasitological cross-sectional study was conducted in Sharq El-Nile hospital, Khartoum, Sudan between January and November 2018. The study included a total number of 100 females age 15 to 45 years. Patients subjected to immunosuppressive factors (pregnant women, transplant, HIV patients and other immunosuppressed) were excluded from the study. Sample collection was linked with a questionnaire guided interview. The confidentiality and anonymity of the participants were maintained throughout the research steps. Demographic information included age, educational level, marital status, occupation, and family size were collected. Other expected risk factors like contact with felines, consumption of raw meat or unwashed vegetables, drinking of unpasteurized milk, sources of drinking water, rearing of rabbits and previous history of spontaneous abortion were considered as well.

Samples collection

Two ml blood samples were collected in plain vacutainers after obtaining informed consent from human subjects included in the study. Samples were then transported to the molecular biology lab of the National University Biomedical Research Institute—National University, Sudan. Samples were then left on the bench for 30 min at room

temperature to allow blood clotting and serum separation. Serum samples were then transferred into new plain labeled containers and stored at $-20\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ till further processing.

Serological diagnosis of toxoplasmosis

A total of 100 serum samples were serologically tested for IgM and IgG against *T. gondii* antigens. The sera were subjected to Toxoplasma-specific rapid diagnostic testing (RDT), according to the manufacturer's instructions (Biopanda Reagents, Belfast, UK). Briefly, 20 µl serum were added to the pore in the cellulose strip of the test device and then allowed to migrate through the whole strip. Results were positive when obtaining two or three lines of reaction, one for the control showing the validity of the test device and the second one for the positive serum sample. Three lines were obtained when both IgM and IgG detected in addition to the control line. In order to obtain a valid test result, samples were run in triplicates.

RDT seropositive samples were subjected to latex indirect agglutination test card in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions (Rapid Labs, United Kingdom). Briefly, 20 μ l serum sample was placed on the test spot next to the controls' spots; positive and negative controls. 3 drops of the antigen provided with the test kits were added and mixed slowly with the serum followed by 15 min incubation at room temperature. Direct agglutination confirmatory tests were done in duplicates before tabulation of the results.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS version 20). Demographic data were categorized and means were compared using the student T test. Chi square test was used to test the significance of risk factors associated with seropositive patients; results considered to be statistically significant if P value < 0.05.

Results

Study population characteristics

Our study population consisted of 100 women aged 15 to 50 years (mean age 26.75 ± 8.25 years). Participants were grouped according to their ages into 4 groups; 15-20, 21-30, 31-40, and 41-50 years. Most of the participants (45%) were in the 21-30 years age group. Information about participants residence, marital status, water source and contact with cats is in Table 1.

Serological detection of T. gondii infection

The overall prevalence of *T. gondii* infection in the study population was (27%, 95% confidence interval [CI] 18.6–36.8%) (Table 2). Results of detection were further

Mustafa et al. BMC Res Notes (2019) 12:279 Page 3 of 6

Table 1 Characteristics of the study participants

21–30	Participants characteristics	Positive N (%)	Negative N (%)	Total out of 100 (%)	P value
21–30	Age groups				
31–40 7 (25.9%) 20 (74.1%) 27 (27%) 41–50 4 (30.8%) 9 (69.2%) 13 (13%) Marital status Married 22 (27.8%) 57 (72.2%) 79 (79%) 0.85 Single 4 (26.7%) 11 (73.3%) 15 (15%) Divorced 0 (0.0%) 2 (100%) 2 (2%) Widow 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.0%) 4 (4%) Residence Al Fayha 8 (33.3%) 16 (66.7%) 24 (24%) 0.49 Al Gadesia 0 (0.0%) 3 (100%) 3 (3%) Gereaf sharq 10 (34.5%) 19 (65.5%) 29 (29%) Haj Yousef 7 (21.2%) 26 (78.8%) 33 (33%) Soba Sharq 2 (18.2%) 9 (81.8%) 11 (11%) Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (673.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	15-20	3 (11.5%)	23 (88.5%)	26 (26%)	0.153
41–50 4 (30.8%) 9 (69.2%) 13 (13%) Marrial status Married 22 (27.8%) 57 (72.2%) 79 (79%) 0.85 Single 4 (26.7%) 11 (73.3%) 15 (15%) 0.85 Divorced 0 (0.0%) 2 (100%) 2 (29%) Widow 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.0%) 4 (4%) Residence Al Fayha 8 (33.3%) 16 (66.7%) 24 (24%) 0.49 Al Gadesia 0 (0.0%) 3 (100%) 3 (3%) 0.49 Gereaf sharq 10 (34.5%) 19 (65.5%) 29 (29%) Haj Yousef 7 (21.2%) 26 (78.8%) 33 (33%) Soba Sharq 2 (18.2%) 9 (81.8%) 11 (11%) Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) 0.56 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70	21–30	13 (28.9%)	32 (71.1%)	45 (45%)	
Married 22 (27.8%) 57 (72.2%) 79 (79%) 0.85 Single 4 (26.7%) 11 (73.3%) 15 (15%) Divorced 0 (0.0%) 2 (100%) 2 (29%) Widow 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.0%) 4 (4%) Residence Al Fayha 8 (33.3%) 16 (66.7%) 24 (24%) 0.45 Al Gadesia 0 (0.0%) 3 (100%) 3 (3%) 6 6ereaf sharq 10 (34.5%) 19 (65.5%) 29 (29%) Haj Yousef 7 (21.2%) 26 (78.8%) 33 (33%) 5 50a Sharq 2 (18.2%) 9 (81.8%) 11 (11%) Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) 0.56 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) 0.56 Consumption of raw meat Yes 1 (25.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) 0.54 No 26 (27	31-40	7 (25.9%)	20 (74.1%)	27 (27%)	
Married 22 (27.8%) 57 (72.2%) 79 (79%) 0.85 Single 4 (26.7%) 11 (73.3%) 15 (15%) Divorced 0 (0.0%) 2 (100%) 2 (2%) Widow 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.0%) 4 (4%) Residence Al Fayha 8 (33.3%) 16 (66.7%) 24 (24%) 0.49 Al Gadesia 0 (0.0%) 3 (100%) 3 (3%) Gereaf sharq 10 (34.5%) 19 (65.5%) 29 (29%) Haj Yousef 7 (21.2%) 26 (78.8%) 33 (33%) Soba Sharq 2 (18.2%) 9 (81.8%) 11 (11%) Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (673.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (19%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	41-50	4 (30.8%)	9 (69.2%)	13 (13%)	
Single 4 (26.7%) 11 (73.3%) 15 (15%) Divorced 0 (0.0%) 2 (100%) 2 (2%) Widow 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.0%) 4 (4%) Residence Al Fayha 8 (33.3%) 16 (66.7%) 24 (24%) 0.49 Al Gadesia 0 (0.0%) 3 (100%) 3 (3%) Gereaf sharq 10 (34.5%) 19 (65.5%) 29 (29%) Haj Yousef 7 (21.2%) 26 (78.8%) 33 (33%) Soba Sharq 2 (18.2%) 9 (81.8%) 11 (11%) Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Marital status				
Divorced 0 (0.0%) 2 (100%) 2 (2%) Widow 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.0%) 4 (4%) Residence Al Fayha 8 (33.3%) 16 (66.7%) 24 (24%) 0.49 Al Gadesia 0 (0.0%) 3 (100%) 3 (3%) Gereaf sharq 10 (34.5%) 19 (65.5%) 29 (29%) Haj Yousef 7 (21.2%) 26 (78.8%) 33 (33%) Soba Sharq 2 (18.2%) 9 (81.8%) 11 (11%) Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Married	22 (27.8%)	57 (72.2%)	79 (79%)	0.855
Widow 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.0%) 4 (4%) Residence Al Fayha 8 (33.3%) 16 (66.7%) 24 (24%) 0.49 Al Gadesia 0 (0.0%) 3 (100%) 3 (3%) Gereaf sharq 10 (34.5%) 19 (65.5%) 29 (29%) Haj Yousef 7 (21.2%) 26 (78.8%) 33 (33%) Soba Sharq 2 (18.2%) 9 (81.8%) 11 (11%) Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) 0.56 Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) 0.56 Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (673.3%) 90 (90%) 0.54 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) 0.70 Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26	Single	4 (26.7%)	11 (73.3%)	15 (15%)	
Residence Al Fayha 8 (33.3%) 16 (66.7%) 24 (24%) 0.49 Al Gadesia 0 (0.0%) 3 (100%) 3 (3%) Gereaf sharq 10 (34.5%) 19 (65.5%) 29 (29%) Haj Yousef 7 (21.2%) 26 (78.8%) 33 (33%) Soba Sharq 2 (18.2%) 9 (81.8%) 11 (11%) Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Divorced	0 (0.0%)	2 (100%)	2 (2%)	
Al Fayha 8 (33.3%) 16 (66.7%) 24 (24%) 0.49 Al Gadesia 0 (0.0%) 3 (100%) 3 (3%) Gereaf sharq 10 (34.5%) 19 (65.5%) 29 (29%) Haj Yousef 7 (21.2%) 26 (78.8%) 33 (33%) Soba Sharq 2 (18.2%) 9 (81.8%) 11 (11%) Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Widow	1 (25.0%)	3 (75.0%)	4 (4%)	
Al Gadesia 0 (0.0%) 3 (100%) 3 (3%) Gereaf sharq 10 (34.5%) 19 (65.5%) 29 (29%) Haj Yousef 7 (21.2%) 26 (78.8%) 33 (33%) Soba Sharq 2 (18.2%) 9 (81.8%) 11 (11%) Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Residence				
Gereaf sharq 10 (34.5%) 19 (65.5%) 29 (29%) Haj Yousef 7 (21.2%) 26 (78.8%) 33 (33%) Soba Sharq 2 (18.2%) 9 (81.8%) 11 (11%) Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Al Fayha	8 (33.3%)	16 (66.7%)	24 (24%)	0.491
Haj Yousef 7 (21.2%) 26 (78.8%) 33 (33%) Soba Sharq 2 (18.2%) 9 (81.8%) 11 (11%) Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Al Gadesia	0 (0.0%)	3 (100%)	3 (3%)	
Soba Sharq 2 (18.2%) 9 (81.8%) 11 (11%) Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Gereaf sharq	10 (34.5%)	19 (65.5%)	29 (29%)	
Direct contact with cats Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Haj Yousef	7 (21.2%)	26 (78.8%)	33 (33%)	
Yes 14 (37.8%) 23 (62.2%) 37 (37%) 0.05 No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) 0.56 Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) 0.00 Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) 0.07 Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) 0.48 Source of drinking water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) 0.41 Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) 0.23	Soba Sharq	2 (18.2%)	9 (81.8%)	11 (11%)	
No 13 (20.6%) 50 (79.4%) 63 (63%) Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Direct contact wi	ith cats			
Consumption of raw meat Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) 94 Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) 44 Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) 11 History of abortion 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 <	Yes	14 (37.8%)	23 (62.2%)	37 (37%)	0.052
Yes 18 (27.3%) 48 (72.7%) 66 (66%) 0.56 No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	No	13 (20.6%)	50 (79.4%)	63 (63%)	
No 9 (26.5%) 25 (73.4%) 34 (34%) Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Consumption of	raw meat			
Consumption of raw vegetables Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) 94 Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Yes	18 (27.3%)	48 (72.7%)	66 (66%)	0.565
Yes 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 10 (10%) 0.54 No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	No	9 (26.5%)	25 (73.4%)	34 (34%)	
No 24 (26.7%) 66 (73.3%) 90 (90%) Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Consumption of	raw vegetab	les		
Consumption of unpasteurized milk Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Yes	3 (30.0%)	7 (70.0%)	10 (10%)	0.540
Yes 1 (25.0%) 3 (75.5%) 4 (4%) 0.70 No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) 44 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) 1 (16%) History of abortion 70 (2.25%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	No	24 (26.7%)	66 (73.3%)	90 (90%)	
No 26 (27.1%) 70 (72.9%) 96 (96%) Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Consumption of	unpasteurize	ed milk		
Owing rabbits at home Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Yes	1 (25.0%)	3 (75.5%)	4 (4%)	0.706
Yes 1 (16.7%) 5 (83.3%) 6 (6%) 0.48 No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	No	26 (27.1%)	70 (72.9%)	96 (96%)	
No 26 (27.7%) 68 (72.3%) 94 (94%) Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Owing rabbits at	home			
Source of drinking water Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Yes	1 (16.7%)	5 (83.3%)	6 (6%)	0.483
Tap water 25 (29.4%) 60 (70.6%) 85 (85%) 0.41 Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	No	26 (27.7%)	68 (72.3%)	94 (94%)	
Filtered water 2 (14.3%) 12 (85.7%) 14 (14%) Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Source of drinkin	g water			
Wells water 0 (0.0%) 1 (100%) 1 (1%) History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Tap water	25 (29.4%)	60 (70.6%)	85 (85%)	0.413
History of abortion Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Filtered water	2 (14.3%)	12 (85.7%)	14 (14%)	
Yes 6 (37.5%) 10 (62.5%) 16 (16%) 0.23	Wells water	0 (0.0%)	1 (100%)	1 (1%)	
	History of abortion	on			
No 21 (25.0%) 63 (75.0%) 84 (84%)	Yes	6 (37.5%)	10 (62.5%)	16 (16%)	0.230
	No	21 (25.0%)	63 (75.0%)	84 (84%)	

classified based on the type of immunoglobulins present in the serum. *T. gondii*-specific IgG and IgM antibodies were detected in 22 (22%) and 4 (4%) of the seropositive participants respectively. Only one serum sample was positive for both IgG and IgM anti-*T. gondii* antibodies. Women aged 21–30 years had the highest frequency of detected IgG and IgM; 10/45 (22.2%) and 3/45 (6.7%), respectively (Table 2). When analyzing the immunoglobulins results based on the marital status of the

participated women, married women had the highest frequency of detected IgG or IgM, 18/79 (22.8%) and 3/79 (3.8%) respectively. Similarly, the single woman, 1/79 (1.3%), concurrently seropositive for both IgG and IgM was married. Five of the 22 married women with positive serology for anti-*T. gondii* IgG and/or IgM antibodies also have a history of spontaneous abortion. However, most married women (72.1%) were negative for the presence of anti-*T. gondii* immunoglobulins (Table 2).

Risk factors analysis

A total of 37 (37%) participants were having direct contact with cats and 66 (66%) reported frequent raw meat consumption. However, neither direct cats contact nor raw meat consumption had a statistically significant association with seropositivity to *T. gondii* (P value=0.052 and 0.565, respectively). Other factors related to the risk of having *T. gondii* infection including consumption of unwashed vegetables, drinking of unpasteurized milk, owing of rabbits and, the source of drinking water was described in Table 3.

Six (22.2%) seropositive women had a previous history of abortion. Four of those participants positive for *T. gondii* IgG and one positive for *T. gondii* IgM had a history of two episodes of abortion while one woman who was seropositive for both IgM and IgG immunoglobulins had one previous abortion. Analysis of risk factors of having toxoplasmosis and its relation to the frequency of abortion is found in Additional file 1.

Discussion

This study showed 27% seroprevalence of anti-T. gondii antibodies among Sudanese women in Khartoum. This finding was lower than the seroprevalence of toxoplasmosis reported by Khalil et al. in which 45% of the sampled population had serological evidence of T. gondii infection [25]. Also, our result was lower than those reported in different countries as Ghana [26], Cameroon [27] and Ethiopia [28–33]. However, this seroprevalence of *T. gondii* antibodies was higher than that reported in European, North and West American continents [9, 34]. Such variations could be due to different study settings in terms of multiple climatic conditions, heterogeneous study population and risk factors profile such as contact with pets, hygienic practice, and feeding habit [28, 29, 31, 35, 36]. The result of those who aged 21–30 years having the highest frequency of T. gondii infection (28.9%) was similar to previous reports [28, 29, 36] with the exception of one study conducted in Burkina Faso that showed these who aged more than 30 years were the most infected age group [35].

The results of risk factors associated with toxoplasmosis including direct contact with cats, consumption

Mustafa et al. BMC Res Notes (2019) 12:279 Page 4 of 6

Table 2 Results of serological diagnosis among the study participants

	lmmunoglobu	lins		Total	P value	
	IgG	lgM	IgG and IgM	Negative		
Age groups (years)						
15–20	3 (11.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	23 (88.5%)	26 (26%)	0.063
21–30	10 (22.2%)	3 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (71.1%)	45 (45%)	
31–40	6 (30.0%)	1 (5.0%)	0 (0.0%)	13 (65.0%)	20 (20%)	
41–50	3 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (11.1%)	5 (55.6%)	9 (9%)	
Marital status						
Married	18 (22.8%)	3 (3.8%)	1 (1.3%)	57 (72.1%)	79 (97.0%)	0.997
Single	3 (20.0%)	1 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (73.3%)	15 (15.0%)	
Divorced	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (100%)	2 (2.0%)	
Widow	1 (25.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (75.0%)	4 (4.0%)	
Frequency of abortion						
1 time	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (12.5%)	6 (87.5%)	8 (8.0%)	0.009
2 times	4 (57.1%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (28.6%)	7 (7.0%)	
3 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (100%)	1 (1.0%)	
No history of abortion	18 (21.4%)	3 (3.6%)	0 (0.0%)	61 (72.6%)	84 (84.0%)	

Table 3 Risk factors analysis with the prevalence of toxoplasmosis among the seropositive participants

Yes	No	P value	95% confidence interval
14	13	0.052	0.95-5.77
18	9	0.565	0.49-2.65
3	24	0.540	0.28-4.92
1	24	0.706	0.08-9.01
1	26	0.483	0.05-4.69
6	21	0.230	0.58-5.55
	14 18 3 1	14 13 18 9 3 24 1 24 1 26	14 13 0.052 18 9 0.565 3 24 0.540 1 24 0.706 1 26 0.483

^a Calculated for positive participants for toxoplasma positive serum

of raw meat, consumption of unwashed vegetables, drinking of unpasteurized milk, owing rabbits at home, and source of drinking water were statistically insignificant (P values = 0.052, 0.565, 0.540, 0.706, 0.483 and 0.413, respectively). It is worth noting the tendency of association between seropositivity and direct contact with cats. Although, its noted that direct contact or owing a cat can act as a risk factor for toxoplasmosis [37]. While others reported the role of direct contact instead of owing a cat is highly significant [38]. In general, these study results were similar to other reports in which no significant association between these risk factors and having anti-*T. gondii* immunoglobulins [35, 39] and in contrast to previous reports that showed

consumption of raw meat was significantly associated with toxoplasmosis infection [35, 40].

In this study, the history of two spontaneous abortion was statistically significant with having seropositive anti-*T. gondii* immunoglobulins (P value 0.038). This result was higher compared to previous studies conducted among women with history of miscarriage in Mexico [40], but similar to spontaneous abortion rate in seropositive women for *T. gondii* infection reported in Pakistan (17%) [41], and lower than other studies done in Egypt [42], Iran [43], and India [44]. When we linked the frequency of abortion to the presence of direct contact with cats, which was considered as a risk factor for having toxoplasmosis, the relationship was statistically significant (P value=0.031), similar to an association reported in Zambia [36].

Conclusion

Toxoplasmosis is an opportunistic parasitic infection; apparently immunocompetent women can contract the infection with not a low rate. All women in childbearing age should be tested for *T. gondii* especially when they are planning to get pregnant to avoid the infection and its sequelae.

Limitations

 Although the studied sample size is very small, it provides an insight into the situation of toxoplasmosis among Sudanese women. Therefore, a large-scale Mustafa et al. BMC Res Notes (2019) 12:279 Page 5 of 6

- study with large sample size is required to provide accurate prevalence of this infection.
- The ting of significance reported in this study cannot be generalized, but can be used as a pre-epidemiological data on the situation of toxoplasmosis in Sudan.
- Presence of the toxoplasmosis infection and miscarriage among women still unknown whether the exposure occurred before or after pregnancy, therefore, examining detection of infection before and after pregnancy and at different time intervals following pregnancy duration would significantly improve future studies.
- An accurate estimation for the toxoplasma antibodies in women serum is required in order to investigate active and past infections which can provide good follow up during pregnancy.

Additional file

Additional file 1. Analysis of risk factors of having toxoplasmosis and its relation to the frequency of abortion.

Acknowledgements

We are of great thanks for kind collaboration and assistance of the clinical staff of Sharq El-Nile hospital in Khartoum state, Sudan during patients' reception and sampling. And also great thanks to all participants contributed to this work. We also thank Dr. Tarig B. Higazi, Professor of Biological Sciences, Ohio University Zanesville for his valuable comments.

Authors' contributions

MM, FF, AM, MAM and AMAE provided conceptual framework for the project, guidance for interpretation of the data, performed data analysis, NSM, EES, AEA, ROA and MSA participated in the performance of the Parasitological work. NSM, MSM and AMAE performed the statistical analysis and guidance for data interpretation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the National University Biomedical Research Institute Research Ethics Committee—National University, Sudan. Informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to enrollment in the study using written consent from adults, parents or legal custodians of children.

Consent to publish

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Department of Parasitology and Medical Entomology, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Nile College, Khartoum, Sudan. ² Department

of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL, USA. ³ Department of Radiology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL, USA. ⁴ Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan. ⁵ Department of Molecular Biology, National University Biomedical Research Institute, National University, Khartoum, Sudan. ⁶ Faculty of Medicine, Neelain University, Khartoum, Sudan. ⁷ Mycetoma Research Center, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan. ⁸ Department of Parasitology and Medical Entomology, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Sinnar University, Sinnar, Sudan. ⁹ Parasitology Department, College of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Khartoum, Sudan.

Received: 18 February 2019 Accepted: 11 May 2019 Published online: 16 May 2019

References

- World Health Organization. WHO estimates of the global burden of foodborne diseases: foodborne disease burden epidemiology reference grou. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015. p. 2007–15.
- Montoya JG, Liesenfeld O. Toxoplasmosis. Lancet. 2004;363:1965–76 (1474–547X Electronic).
- Sullivan WJ Jr, Jeffers V. Mechanisms of Toxoplasma gondii persistence and latency. Federat Eur Microbiol Soc. 2012;36:717–33 (1574–6976 Electronic).
- Dubey JP, Beattie C. Toxoplasmosis of animals and man. New York: CRC Press, Inc.; 1988.
- Pereira-Chioccola VL, Vidal JE, Su C. Toxoplasma gondii infection and cerebral toxoplasmosis in HIV-infected patients. Future Microbiol. 2009;4(10):1363–79
- Dupouy-Camet J, De Souza SL, Maslo C, Paugam A, Saimot A, Benarous R, et al. Detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* in venous blood from AIDS patients by polymerase chain reaction. J Clin Microbiol. 1993;31(7):1866–9.
- Cong W, Elsheikha HM, Zhou N, Peng P, Qin SY, Meng QF, et al. Prevalence of antibodies against *Toxoplasma gondii* in pets and their owners in Shandong province, Eastern China. BMC Infect Dis. 2018;18:430 (1471–2334 (Flectronic))
- Cong W, Dong X-Y, Meng Q-F, Zhou N, Wang X-Y, Huang S-Y, et al. Toxoplasma gondii infection in pregnant women: a seroprevalence and case–control study in Eastern China. Biomed Res Int. 2015;2015:17028.
- Pappas G, Roussos N, Falagas ME. Toxoplasmosis snapshots: global status of *Toxoplasma gondii* seroprevalence and implications for pregnancy and congenital toxoplasmosis. Int J Parasitol. 2009;39(12):1385–94.
- Jenum PA, Stray-Pedersen B, Melby KK, Kapperud G, Whitelaw A, Eskild A, et al. Incidence of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in 35,940 pregnant women in Norway and pregnancy outcome for infected women. J Clin Microbiol. 1998;36(10):2900–6.
- Ertug S, Okyay P, Turkmen M, Yuksel H. Seroprevalence and risk factors for Toxoplasma infection among pregnant women in Aydin province, Turkey. BMC Public heAlth. 2005;5(1):66.
- McAuley JB. Congenital toxoplasmosis. J Pediatr Infect Dis Soc. 2014;3(suppl_1):S30–5.
- Cook A, Holliman R, Gilbert R, Buffolano W, Zufferey J, Petersen E, et al. Sources of toxoplasma infection in pregnant women: European multicentre case-control study. Commentary: congenital toxoplasmosis—further thought for food. BMJ. 2000;321(7254):142–7.
- Vasconcelos-Santos DV, Azevedo DOM, Campos WR, Oréfice F, Queiroz-Andrade GM, Carellos ÉVM, et al. Congenital toxoplasmosis in southeastern Brazil: results of early ophthalmologic examination of a large cohort of neonates. Ophthalmology. 2009;116(11):2199–205.
- Koppe J, Loewer-Sieger D, de Roever-Bonnet H. Results of 20-year followup of congenital toxoplasmosis. Lancet. 1986;327(8475):254–6.
- Luma HN, Tchaleu BCN, Mapoure YN, Temfack E, Doualla MS, Halle MP, et al. Toxoplasma encephalitis in HIV/AIDS patients admitted to the Douala general hospital between 2004 and 2009: a cross sectional study. BMC Res Notes. 2013;6(1):146.
- McCabe RE, Brooks RG, Dorfman RF, Remington JS. Clinical spectrum in 107 cases of toxoplasmic lymphadenopathy. Rev Infect Dis. 1987;9(4):754–74.

Mustafa *et al. BMC Res Notes* (2019) 12:279 Page 6 of 6

- Hamidinejat H, Ghorbanpoor M, Hosseini H, Alavi SM, Nabavi L, Jalali MHR, et al. *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in first-episode and inpatient individuals with schizophrenia. Int J Infect Dis. 2010;14(11):e978–81.
- Bosch-Driessen LE, Berendschot TT, Ongkosuwito JV, Rothova A. Ocular toxoplasmosis: clinical features and prognosis of 154 patients. Ophthalmology. 2002;109(5):869–78.
- El-Henawy AAE-R, Abdel-Razik A, Zakaria S, Elhammady D, Saudy N, Azab MS. Is toxoplasmosis a potential risk factor for liver cirrhosis? Asian Pac J Trop Med. 2015;8(10):784–91.
- 21. Carter F, Fleck D. The incidence of Toxoplasma antibodies in the Sudanese. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1966;60(4):539–43.
- El-Hassan AM, Eltoum IA, El-Asha BM. The Marrara syndrome: isolation of Linguatula serrata nymphs from a patient and the viscera of goats. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1991;85(2):309.
- Abdel-Raouff M, Elbasheir MM. Sero-prevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection among pregnant women attending antenatal clinics in Khartoum and Omdurman Maternity Hospitals, Sudan. J Coastal Life Med. 2014;2(6):496–9.
- Fallahi S, Rostami A, Shiadeh MN, Behniafar H, Paktinat S. An updated literature review on maternal-fetal and reproductive disorders of *Toxoplasma* gondii infection. J Gynecol Obstetr Hum Reprod. 2018;47(3):133–40.
- Khalil M, Ahmed A, Elrayah I. Prevalence and Risk factors for *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in Humans from Khartoum State, Sudan. Int J Public Health Epidemiol. 2013;2(3):60–6.
- Ayi I, Edu S, Apea-Kubi K, Boamah D, Bosompem K, Edoh D. Sero-epidemiology of toxoplasmosis amongst pregnant women in the greater Accra region of Ghana. Ghana Med J. 2009;43(3):107–14.
- Wam EC, Sama LF, Ali IM, Ebile WA, Aghangu LA, Tume CB. Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* IgG and IgM antibodies and associated risk factors in women of child-bearing age in Njinikom, NW Cameroon. BMC Res Notes. 2016;9(1):406.
- Zemene E, Yewhalaw D, Abera S, Belay T, Samuel A, Zeynudin A. Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* and associated risk factors among pregnant women in Jimma town, Southwestern Ethiopia. BMC Infect Dis. 2012;12(1):337.
- Abamecha F, Awel H. Seroprevalence and risk factors of *Toxoplasma* gondii infection in pregnant women following antenatal care at Mizan Aman General Hospital, Bench Maji Zone (BMZ), Ethiopia. BMC Infect Dis. 2016;16(1):460.
- Gelaye W, Kebede T, Hailu A. High prevalence of anti-toxoplasma antibodies and absence of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection risk factors among pregnant women attending routine antenatal care in two Hospitals of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Int J Infect Dis. 2015;34:41–5.
- Agmas B, Tesfaye R, Koye DN. Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection and associated risk factors among pregnant women in Debre Tabor, Northwest Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes. 2015;8(1):107.
- Shimelis T, Tebeje M, Tadesse E, Tegbaru B, Terefe A. Sero-prevalence of latent *Toxoplasma gondii* infection among HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected people in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a comparative cross-sectional study. BMC Res Notes. 2009;2(1):213.

- Zeleke AJ, Melsew YA. Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* and associated risk factors among HIV-infected women within reproductive age group at Mizan Aman General Hospital, Southwest Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. BMC Res Notes. 2017;10(1):70.
- 34. Ferreira MN, Bonini-Domingos CR, Estevão I, Lobo CLC, Carrocini GC, Silveira-Carvalho AP, et al. Anti-*Toxoplasma gondii* antibodies in patients with beta-hemoglobinopathies: the first report in the Americas. BMC Res Notes. 2017;10(1):211.
- 35. Bamba S, Cissé M, Sangaré I, Zida A, Ouattara S, Guiguemdé RT. Seroprevalence and risk factors of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in pregnant women from Bobo Dioulasso, Burkina Faso. BMC Infect Dis. 2017;17(1):482.
- Frimpong C, Makasa M, Sitali L, Michelo C. Seroprevalence and determinants of toxoplasmosis in pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at the university teaching hospital, Lusaka, Zambia. BMC Infect Dis. 2017:17(1):10.
- Vittecoq M, Lafferty KD, Elguero E, Brodeur J, Gauthier-Clerc M, Missé D, et al. Cat ownership is neither a strong predictor of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection nor a risk factor for brain cancer. Biol Let. 2012;8(6):1042.
- 38. Wei H-X, He C, Yang P-L, Lindsay DS, Peng H-J. Relationship between cat contact and infection by *Toxoplasma gondii* in humans: a meta-analysis. Comp Parasitol. 2016;83(1):11–20.
- Arce-Estrada GE, Gómez-Toscano V, Cedillo-Peláez C, Sesman-Bernal AL, Bosch-Canto V, Mayorga-Butrón JL, et al. Report of an unusual case of anophthalmia and craniofacial cleft in a newborn with *Toxoplasma gondii* congenital infection. BMC Infect Dis. 2017;17(1):459.
- Alvarado-Esquivel C, Pacheco-Vega S, Hernández-Tinoco J, Centeno-Tinoco M, Beristain-García I, Sánchez-Anguiano L, et al. Miscarriage history and *Toxoplasma gondii* infection: a cross-sectional study in women in Durango City, Mexico. Eur J Microbiol Immunol. 2014;4(2):117–22.
- 41. Pal RA, Qayyum M, Yaseen M. Seroprevalence of antibodies to *Toxoplasma gondii*, with particular reference to obstetric history of patients in Rawalpindi-Islamabad, Pakistan. J Pak Med Assoc. 1996;46(3):56–8.
- 42. Attia RA, El-Zayat M, Rizk H, Motawea S. Toxoplasma IgG & IgM antibodies: a case control study. J Egypt Soc Parasitol. 1995;25(3):877–82.
- Saki J, Mohammadpour N, Moramezi F, Khademvatan S. Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in women who have aborted in comparison with the women with normal delivery in Ahvaz, southwest of Iran. Sci World J. 2015;2015;764369.
- 44. Gogate A, Deodhar L, Shah P, Vaidya P. Detection of *Chlamydia tra-chomatis* antigen & *Toxoplasma gondii* (IgM) & *Mycoplasma hominis* (IgG) antibodies by ELISA in women with bad obstetric history. Indian J Med Res. 1994;100:19–22.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$ thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

